An MMP for the residential development on ERF 11330 Idas Valley was approved by DEADP on the 22 of March 2018. REFERENCE: 16/3/3/5/B4/45/1006/18.

This MMP covers the same maintenance activities that will be applicable to the OLD HELSHOOGTE ROAD UPGRADE.

It would be counter intuitive to have two MMPs for maintenance in the river on erf 11330.

The same maintenance activities apply.

As such is argued that the OLD HELSHOOGTE ROAD UPGRADE falls within the ambit of the approved MMP for the residential development on ERF 11330 Idas Valley.

Attached is the APPROVED MMP for the residential development on ERF 11330 Idas Valley.

MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT PLAN (OPERATIONAL PHASE)

FOR THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ON ERF 11330 STELLENBOSCH

January 2018

Prepared for: Stellenbosch Municipality PO Box 17 Stellenbosch 7600 Tel: 021 808 8111 E-mail: stellenbosch@stellenbosch.gov.za

Prepared by:Eco Impact Legal Consulting (Pty) Ltd
P.O. Box 45070
Claremont
South Africa
7735
Tel: 021 671 1660
Email: admin@ecoimpact.co.za



DEA&DP Ref No: 16/3/1/1/B4/45/1114/14

| CONTRACTOR DE CONT | npact | Title: MAINTENANCE MAN STELLENBOSCH | AGEMENT P | LAN - ERF | 11330 |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Eco Impact I 01 | No: 2018/111- | Date: 5 January 2018 | | Report S | tatus: 2nd draft |
| Carried Out By: Eco Impact Legal Consulting (Pty) Ltd P.O. Box 45070 Claremont 7735 Tel: 021 671 1660 E-mail: admin@ecoimpact.co.za | | PO Box 17 PO Box 17 Stellenbosch Stellenbosch 7600 7600 Tel: 021 808 8111 Tel: 021 808 Fax: NA Fax: NA E-mail: E-mail: | | sch | |
| Author: Jessica Le Roux | | · | avel w Housing 3 8462 C: +2 | | 709 F: +27 21 887 6167 |
| | COP | /RIGHT: Eco Impact L | egai consul | ting (Pty) i | _ta |
| Verification | Capacity | Name | Signa | ture | Date |
| By Author | Senior EAP | Jessica Le Roux | Th | 40 | 5 January 2018 |
| Reviewed | Director: Environmental Management; Principle EAP & Biodiversity Specialist | Nicolaas Hanekom | Noffan | elam. | 5 January 2018 |

1. INTRODUCTION

This MMP is for the proposed construction of 203 single residential units (GAP and affordable housing) on 2.77ha, general residential (60 flats) on 0.56 ha and roads and services. The site is located in the eastern portion of Idas Valley, Stellenbosch and west of the old Helshoogte road on vacant undeveloped municipal land. A non-perennial river runs from east to west through the middle of the site.

This MMP has been prepared principally in compliance with the requirements of "Annexure A – Guideline for Compiling a Maintenance Management Plan".

This document, together with the conditions in the EMP and Environmental Authorisation, must be adhered to.

1.1. **RESPONSIBLE PARTY**

The responsible party that will be implementing the MMP is the Stellenbosch Municipality.

The Stellenbosch Municipality ("SM") has committed itself to a set of values that include the maintenance of good relations and transparent communications with all stakeholders, and the dynamic engagement of the larger community.

SM undertakes to implement suitable management systems for all the areas and aspects of this operation. This will ensure that development itself and management of the project will comply with legal, technical, environmental and transformation policies and standards.

SM intends to enable continuous improvement in legal compliance and the sustainable operation of the site. This MMP intends to further guide the achievement of the strategic objectives of the organization at the project site.

The satisfactory implementation of the MMP on site will require both the full support and commitment of all personnel.

1.2. AUTHOR

Eco Impact is an independent consulting company and has no interest in any business related to the development site, nor will it receive any payment or benefit other than fair remuneration for the task undertaken, as required in terms of the NEMA Regulations.

This report has been prepared by Jessica Le Roux, of Eco Impact, an environmental consultancy, engaged in providing professional services in the field of environmental planning, -systems, -auditing and -biodiversity assessment and -management.

Jessica Le Roux has completed her professional registration in terms of section 20(3) (b) of the Natural Scientific Professions Act, 2003 (Act 27 of 2003) as a Professional Natural Scientist in the field of practice Environmental Science (Registration number 400192/16). She obtained her BSc (Honours) in Environmental and Geographical Science in 2011 from the University of Cape Town and subsequently obtained her MSc in Zoology in 2013 from the same institution.

Jessica has practiced as an Environmental Assessment Practitioner since August 2013 and has been involved in the compilation, coordination and management of Basic Assessment Reports, Environmental Impact Assessments, Environmental Management Programmes, Waste Licence Applications, Water Use Licence Applications and Baseline Biodiversity Surveys for numerous clients.

1.3. **DEFINITIONS**

- Auditing: A systematic and objective assessment of an organization's activities and services conducted and documented on a periodic basis based to a (e.g. ISO 19011:2003) standard.
- Biodiversity: The variety of life in an area, including the number of different species, the genetic wealth within each species, and the natural areas where they are found.
- Contractor: An employer, as defined in section 1 of the Occupational Health and Safety Act 85 of 1993, who performs construction work and includes principal contractors
- Developer: One who builds on land or alters the use of an existing building for some new purpose.
- Environment: A place where living, non-living and man-made features interact, and where life and diversity is sustained over time.
- Evaporation: The change by which any substance (e.g. water) is converted from a liquid state into and carried off as vapour.
- Groundwater: Subsurface water in the zone in which permeable rocks, and often the overlaying soil, are saturated under pressure equal to or greater than atmospheric.
- Independent: Is independent and has no interest in any business related to the development site, nor will receive any payment or benefit other than fair remuneration for the task undertaken.
- Landowner: Holder of the estate in land with considerable rights of ownership or, simply put, an owner of land.
- Monitoring: A systematic and objective observation of an organisation's activities and services conducted and reported on regularly.
- Natural vegetation: All existing vegetation species, indigenous or otherwise, of trees, shrubs, groundcover, grasses and all other plants found growing on a site.
- Pollution: The result of the release into air, water or soil from any process or of any substance, which is capable of causing harm to man or other living organisms supported by the environment.
- Protected Plants: Plant species officially listed under the Threatened or Protected Species regulations as well as on the Protected Plants List (each province has such a list), and which may not be removed or transported without a permit to do so from the relevant provincial authority.

- Red Data Species: Plant and animal species officially listed in the Red Data Lists as being rare, endangered or threatened.
- Rehabilitation: Making the land useful again after a disturbance. It involves the recovery of ecosystem functions and processes in a degraded habitat. Rehabilitation does not necessarily re-establish the predisturbance condition, but does involve establishing geological and hydro logically stable landscapes that support the natural ecosystem mosaic.
- Site: Property or area where the proposed development will take place

1.3.1. ACRONYMS

DEA&DP: Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning DWS: Department of Water and Sanitation ECO: Environmental Control Officer EA: Environmental Authorisation EIA: Environmental Impact Assessment EM: Environmental Manager EMP: **Environmental Management Programme** EO: Environmental Officer ER: Engineer's Representative I&AP: Interested and Affected Party Integrated Environmental Management IEM: MS. Method Statement PM: Project Manager SANS: South African National Standards

1.4. BACKGROUND

Environmental Authorisation and Water Use Licence have been applied for and if granted must be complied with.

The layout (dated 15 June 2017) – preferred alternative option consists of:

- 203 units of GAP and affordable housing (on 2.77ha)
- 60 flat units on one erven (on 0.56ha)
- 4 erven of public open space (on 0.95ha)
- The non-perennial river and its flood plain and buffer area will be zoned to Public Open Space. No construction will take place within the floodlines other than two vehicle

bridges. The vehicle bridges will be made with box culverts. The box culverts will be 1.2m x 0.9m.

- A retaining wall will be constructed on the edge of the parking area (outside the floodlines) and at 4 locations on the northern side of the river (outside the floodlines). Construction of the retaining walls will be outside the floodline and river buffer areas. A cross section of the retaining walls can be found in appendix G in the "Stormwater Management Plan annexure E2".
- The storm water currently runs from Old Helshoogte Road through an excavated channel and joins the stream. The proposed internal water reticulation system will consist of a 750mm diameter pipe and be connected to the existing water reticulation system. There will also be a box culvert of 1.2m x 0.9m adjacent to the flats.
- A detention pond is proposed to manage storm water discharge on the site. This detention pond is not within the floodline and is centrally located on the site. The pond area will be 500meter squared.

| Pond Area | 500 <i>m</i> ² | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1:50 Inflow | 2.602 <i>m</i> 3/s | | | |
| 1:100 Inflow | 3.325 <i>m</i> 3/s | | | |
| Max. depth (1:50) | 1.240 <i>m</i> | | | |
| Max. depth (1:100) | 1.500 <i>m</i> | | | |
| Pond storage volume (1:50) | 386 <i>m</i> ³ | | | |
| Pond storage volume (1:100) | 563 ³ | | | |
| 1:50 Outflow | 2.179 <i>m</i> ³/s | | | |
| 1:100 Outflow | 2.459 <i>m</i> ³ | | | |
| Orifice (Pipe dia.) | 1 x 675 <i>mm</i> | | | |
| 1:50 Freeboard | 0.360 <i>m</i> | | | |
| 1:100 Freeboard | 0.100 <i>m</i> | | | |
| | | | | |

TABLE 1: PROPOSED DETENTION POND

2. RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND POLICIES

Applicable Legislation Identified

- 1. ADVERTISING ON ROADS AND RIBBON DEVELOPMENT ACT, 21 OF 1940
- 2. BASIC CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT ACT 75 OF 1997
- 3. COMPENSATION FOR OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES AND DISEASES ACT 130 OF 1993
- 4. CONSERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES ACT, 43 OF 1983
- 5. CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1996
- 6. ENVIRONMENT CONSERVATION ACT, 73 OF 1989, WESTERN CAPE NOISE CONTROL REGULATIONS
- 7. EMPLOYMENT EQUITY ACT, 55 OF 1998
- 8. ENVIRONMENT CONSERVATION ACT, 73 OF 1989
- 9. FENCING ACT, 31 OF 1963
- 10. HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES ACT, 15 OF 1973
- 11. LABOUR RELATIONS ACT 66 OF 1995
- 12. NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS AND BUILDING STANDARDS ACT, 103 OF 1977
- 13. NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, 107 OF 1998
- 14. NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT: AIR QUALITY ACT 39 OF 2004

- 15. NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT: BIODIVERSITY ACT, 10 OF 2004
- 16. NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT: WASTE ACT, 59 OF 2008
- 17. NATIONAL FORESTS ACT, 84 OF 1998
- 18. NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT, 25 OF 1999
- 19. NATIONAL VELD AND FOREST FIRE ACT, 101 OF 1998
- 20. NATIONAL WATER ACT 36 OF 1998
- 21. OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT 85 OF 1993
- 22. TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONTROL ACT 83 OF 1993
- 23. WATER SERVICES ACT 108 OF 1997
- 24. STELLENBOSCH LOCAL MUNICIPALITY: BY-LAW RELATING TO THE CONTROL OF BOUNDARY WALLS AND FENCES
- 25. STELLENBOSCH LOCAL MUNICIPALITY: BY-LAW ON STREETS
- 26. STELLENBOSCH LOCAL MUNICIPALITY: COMMUNITY FIRE SERVICES BY-LAW
- 27. CAPE WINELANDS DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MUNICIPAL HEALTH BY-LAW
- 28. CAPE WINELANDS DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: FIRE SAFETY BY-LAW

3. SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION/PROJECT LOCATION & LAYOUT PLANS

3.1. LOCALITY MAP

See Annexure 1.2.

3.2. LAYOUT PLAN

See Annexure 1.3.

3.3. AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

See Annexure 1.1.

3.4. DESCRIBE THE SITE

The site is located in the eastern portion of Idas Valley, Stellenbosch and west of the old Helshoogte road on vacant undeveloped municipal land. A non-perennial river runs from east to west through the middle of the site.

3.4.1 THE TYPE OF ECOSYSTEM

The site is situated in a valley with a moderate to low slope from north and south towards the centre non-perennial river. The property is open municipal land that has no natural vegetation left on site other than some wetland vegetation in the river.

3.4.2 BIODIVERSITY FEATURES

The river channel is an Ecological Support Area.

The property lies in the general area that used to support Boland Granite Renosterveld and Cape Winelands Shale Fynbos, according to the new vegetation map of South Africa (Mucina & Rutherford 2006). Both these vegetation types are listed as endangered in the South African National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment (Rouget et al 2004). The site is totally disturbed with no natural vegetation left on site other than some wetland vegetation in the river.

3.4.3 CONDITION OF THE RIVER CHANNEL

This non-perennial river rises in the foothills of the Hottentots Holland Mountains and flows from east to west through the Idas Valley area of Stellenbosch. The non-perennial river rises at 359m above mean sea level and runs for 1.4km before it reaches the property at 211m above mean sea level.

The non-perennial river is defined by a deep narrow channel which is blocked at the Helshoogte and Old Helshoogte Roads before it meets up with a tributary of the Kromme River on the north of the property.

In general, the non-perennial river is impacted upon and in a poor ecological state. The flow regime of the non-perennial river is heavily affected at two places where the Helshoogte and Old Helshoogte Roads cross the river. The localised impacts where the river runs through the town have reduced the ecological integrity of the river. These include construction of roads and infrastructure crossings that cause sedimentation and reduced water flow.

The banks of the watercourse are mostly covered by indigenous vegetation but do also contain a number of alien species. The upper section of the river is invaded by alien trees while the lower section has some wetland vegetation present.



PHOTO 1: VEGETATION ON THE BANKS OF THE RIVER.

3.4.4 DESCRIBE THE RIPARIAN BUFFER ZONES

The non-perennial river and its flood plain and buffer area will be zoned to Public Open Space. No construction will take place within the floodlines other than two vehicle bridges. The vehicle bridges will be made with box culverts. The box culverts will be $1.2m \times 0.9m$.

A retaining wall will be constructed on the edge of the parking area (outside the floodlines) and at 4 locations on the northern side of the river (outside the floodlines). Construction of the retaining walls will be outside the floodline and river buffer areas.

3.4.5 FLOODING

See the "External Stormwater Management Plan" for further details.

The peak flow runoff expected during pre- and post-development conditions during the 1:50 year and 1:100 year recurrence interval storm events can be summarized as follows:

| NODE | LINK | Pre- Development Runoff (m³/s) | | Post-Development Ru (m³/s) | |
|------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | | 1:50 | 1:100 | 1:50 | 1:100 |
| 10 | 10-20 | 2.718 | 3.271 | 2.718 | 3.271 |
| 10A | 10A - 20 | 0.479 | 0.565 | 0.479 | 0.565 |
| 20 | 20-30 | 4.080 | 4.886 | 4.080 | 4.886 |
| 30 | 30-60 | 4.942 | 5.906 | 4.942 | 5.906 |
| 40 | 40-60 | 2.299 | 2.690 | 2.299 | 2.688 |
| 50 | 50-60 | 2.449 | 2.868 | 2.433 | 2.806 |
| 60 | 60-70 | 7.462 | 8.878 | 7.609 | 9.065 |
| 70 | 70 - OF | 7.613 | 9.057 | 7.782 | 9.267 |

TABLE 2: PEAK FLOW RUNOFF

As such, to prevent flooding, an attenuation facility is proposed as part of the development in order to maintain pre-development runoff.

4. OBJECTIVE OF MAINETENENCE ACTIVITRIES/TERMS OF REFERNCE

4.1 OBJECTIVES

The non-perennial river is a designated sensitive area, namely an Ecological Support Area (ESA). The conservation objective for this MMP is to maintain the ecological processes and functioning of the river.

To set guidelines in a management plan for correct management procedures and methods, in such a manner that they may be flexible in as much as situations change.

This MMP will facilitate the manager's annual planning in terms of allocating staff, time and financial resources towards management goals and responsibilities.

4.2 TERMS OF REFERENCE

- Review previous work done in the area and describe baseline conditions that exist in the study area.
- Provide a full report in accordance with the "Annexure A Guideline for Compiling a Maintenance Management Plan".
- Identify and assess any cumulative impacts arising from the proposed project.
- Identify and list all legislation that is relevant to the development proposal.
- Recommend appropriate, practicable mitigation measures that will reduce all major (significant) impacts or enhance potential benefits, if any.
- Indicate limitations and assumptions.
- This report should clearly indicate any constraints that would need to be taken into account.
- The objectivity of the EAP performing work under this appointment must not be compromised under any circumstances.

5. DESCRIPTION OF MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES/BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE PROJECT

5.1. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED TASKS

Once construction is complete, the following tasks are proposed during the operational phase:

A. <u>Clearing of Alien species</u>

Throughout the operational phase the indigenous vegetation currently on the banks of the river in the buffer areas is to remain untouched.

The developer must implement alien clearing management programmes in the buffer areas. Clearing of alien invasive species must take place, in particular gum trees (Eucalyptus sp.) which are prevalent upstream and can have a significant negative impact on riparian areas through inhibiting undergrowth and thereby enhancing erosion impacts. All alien invasive trees, i.e. the large stance of cotton wood (Populus sp.), the large blue gum trees (Eucalyptus), black wattle and port jackson willow (Acacia spp.) must be eradicated from the stream and green buffer area and followed up yearly to prevent re growth.

See method statement for Activity A in Annexure 2.

B. On-going Management and Litter Clearing

- Site Inspections of the river corridor; at river crossings and the stormwater ponds.
- All waste within the drainage channels must be removed on a weekly basis.
- Sandy areas and riffles must be maintained for frog habitat. Where possible, habitat variability should be maintained and environmentally acceptable materials utilised.
- Buffer zones should be maintained on either side of the stream as described in the previous section.
- Inspect and maintain storm water systems in accordance with the method statement for Activity B in Annexure 2.

C. Erosion Control

If any erosion and/or degradation of the channels are noticed immediate action must be taken by the municipality to rectify the situation. Corrective and preventative measures are listed in the method statement for Activity C in Annexure 2.

Management and control of erosion will be an ongoing process and must be closely monitored and immediately rectified by the municipality.

The commitment remains to keep to the existing standards as evident. The municipality must implement erosion control measures to ensure that no erosion occur on site. The area must also be regularly monitored and erosion maintenance measures implemented to prevent erosion. Depending on type and extent of erosion occurred specialists may be contacted to provide specific recommendations.

D. <u>Clearing of silt</u>

The applicable river sections and associated infrastructure must be monitored and kept free of silt/sediment, waste or debris built-up and intrusive growth of invasive alien plants at least annually before the main rainfall season and all excess silt built-up, waste or debris must be removed immediately.

Built-up silt/sand must not be removed along riverbanks which receives direct storm water run-off/river water flow as this will expose the riverbank to potential erosion. Clearing of silt after any flood events as well as clearing of silt in box culverts under the two vehicle bridges may be required during the operational phase.

In these cases, such removal must be done in accordance with the method statement for Activity D in Annexure 2.

<u>The following are also key guiding general principles of environmentally</u><u>sound river maintenance:</u>

(some points were adapted from a report by Ninham Shand, 1999 and Western Cape Nature Conservation river maintenance guidelines)

a) Minimise the spatial extent of disturbance

Refugia (hiding places for aquatic organisms) are a critical component of natural river ecosystems and play an important role in maintaining biodiversity. Recovery of aquatic biota on a large scale is dependent on the presence of un-impacted reaches of rivers with refugia, thus modifying long stretches of river channel is not desirable due to the impaired re-colonisation and recruitment by the aquatic organisms. Long stretches of the river must therefore not be modified.

b) Maximise physical diversity

Environmentally sound river maintenance is to maintain physical/habitat diversity where it exists, or to create it where it no longer exists or where it has been removed by previous actions. This physical diversity will ensure diversity in the plant and animal components and thereby promote the development of biologically intact, healthy, and stable aquatic communities. Although restoration to pre-disturbance condition often may not be possible, the safe-guarding or increasing of the range of habitats along a section of the river would maintain the richness of vertebrates and invertebrates. Long stretches of the river must therefore not be modified.

c) Minimise the frequency of maintenance activities (temporally)

Biological recovery of rivers is dependent on their physical recovery and therefore river features such as pools and riffles (habitat diversity) must become established before complete recovery of aquatic communities can occur. These features are normally flow dependant, that is, work with nature, if they are to be self-maintaining. The planning and design of river maintenance activities should therefore be done in such a manner as to prevent the necessity to repeat the operation each year. Annual habitat destruction and subsequent aquatic biota depletion will decrease the system's resilience to cope with recovery.

5.1.1. THE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL THAT WILL BE DEPOSITED, REMOVED OR MOVED

Unknown.

5.1.2. LOCATION OF THE STORAGE/DISPOSAL OF THE MATERIAL AND HOW IT WILL BE TRANSPORTED

Unknown.

Alien species to be store and transported in accordance with municipal alien clearing programme procedures. No on-site burying, dumping or stockpiling of any weeds and aliens or invasive species must occur. They should be removed from the site and dumped at a suitable dumping site from which seed cannot escape.

5.1.3. COMMENCEMENT DATE AND DURATION IN DAYS FOR EACH TASK

Commencement dates are not clear at this point as this will be dependent on the granting of licences and authorisations.

Maintenance activities in rivers could lead to significant damage if interrupted by high flows or floods. Rather undertake activities during the dry season. Consult specialists with regard to the most appropriate times for disturbance to riparian vegetation and aquatic organisms.

5.2. IMPACTS ON THE ENVIRONMENT

5.2.1. DESCRIPTION OF THE IMPACTS

Assessments undertaken used to inform the MMP:

- "DWS (DW781) Freshwater Ecological Information-Proposed housing project on Erf 11330, Idas Valley, Stellenbosch"
- "Biodiversity Ecosystem Baseline Survey, Idas Valley erf 11330, Stellenbosch Residential Development"
- "Frogs of erf 11330 Independent Assessment of the Eco Impact Freshwater Report"
- "Phase 1 Geotechnical site investigation for erf 11330, Idas Valley, Stellenbosch"
- "External Stormwater Management Plan and Floodline Study for erf 11330, Idas Valley, Stellenbosch"
- "Engineering Services Report for erf 11330, Idas Valley, Stellenbosch"
- Water Use Application
- "Birds of Idas Valley Erf 11330"

Impacts that may result from the operational phase and activities described in this MMP (briefly describe and compare the potential impacts (as appropriate), significance rating of impacts, proposed mitigation and significance rating of impacts after mitigation that are likely to occur as a result of the operational phase.

 Nature of impact:

 Impact on sensitive environments (indigenous terrestrial and riparian vegetation, wetlands, water courses and steep slopes).

 Discussion:

 Sensitive environments: riparian habitat impacted on by activities such as silt removal, alien clearing and waste removal.

 Cumulative impacts:

 • Potential for erosion of granular surface soils due to steep slope conditions. Due to gradients across the site, variation of soils and proximity to the stream, the soils across the site will be susceptible to erosion by water.

Mitigation:

- Appropriate precautions will be necessary.
- Ongoing alien clearing, litter clearing, erosion monitoring and maintenance are recommended to ensure possible impacts on biological aspects on site are avoided.

| Criteria | Housing deve LA 2- PREFERR | lopment | Housing deve LA 3 | evelopment No-Go Alternative | | ve |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Cillend | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation |
| Extent | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Duration | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| Magnitude | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| Probability | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Significance | 56- Medium | 10 - Low | 56- Medium | 10 - Low | 56- Medium | 10 - Low |
| Status | Medium significance if not mitigated | Low significance if mitigated | Medium significance if not mitigated | Low significance if mitigated | Medium significance if not mitigated | Low significance if mitigated |
| Reversibility | 100% | | | | | |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources | 1-Will not be lost if mitigation measures are implemented 1-Will not be lost if mitigation measures are implemented | | | | | 0 |
| Can impacts be mitigated? | 1- Yes, can be completely mitigated 1- Yes, can be completely mitigated | | | | completely | |

See "BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT" for further details.

5.2.2. ANY MITIGATION OR MANAGEMENT MEASURES

See section 5.2.1 above, the Basic Assessment Report and EMP for further mitigation measures recommended.

5.3. SPECIALIST INPUTS

5.3.1. A DESCRIPTION OF NAY ACTIVITIES THAT HAVE COMMENCED ON SITE

No activities have commenced on site.

6. RESPONSIBLE PARTIES/ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

6.1.1. DETAILS OF PERSON TO UNDERTAKE ACTIVITIES

Stellenbosch Municipality PO Box 17 Stellenbosch 7600 Tel: 021 808 8111 E-mail: stellenbosch@stellenbosch.gov.za

6.1.2. LANDOWNER DETAILS

Stellenbosch Municipality PO Box 17 Stellenbosch 7600 Tel: 021 808 8111 E-mail: stellenbosch@stellenbosch.gov.za

6.2. **RESPONSIBILITIES AND FUNCTIONS**

The Stellenbosch Municipality is responsible for all maintenance and planned activities during the operational phase of the development.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION/ENVIRONMENTAL AWRENESS PLAN

Before work is done in accordance with this MMP, persons who will be conducting the work must undergo environmental awareness training as outlined in the EMP.

8. IMPLEMENTATION MANGEMENT

No camp site or access route will be required. Alien eradication dealt with under "description of maintenance activities".

9. GERNERAL CONTROL

The OEMP as included in the EMP must be approved by the deciding authority and subsequently complied with by the Stellenbosch Municipality during the operational phase of the development.

Detention pond must be maintained, if signs of leakage or infrastructure failure are detected it must be repaired immediately and preventative measures must be put in place to prevent re-occurrence. All storm water infrastructure must also be maintained.

It is the mandate of the municipality of to maintain a finite standard and quality finishing and of service delivery on the property to prevent degradation. This requires on-going maintenance of municipal buildings, gardens and infrastructure and the repair of environmental damage caused by users e.g. erosion or trampling of vegetation. The South African Constitution states that municipalities have the responsibility to make sure that all citizens are provided with services to satisfy their basic needs. The Municipality has a legal mandate to ensure that infrastructure development and maintenance of such infrastructure takes place to ensure the wellbeing of people within its jurisdiction.

10. RESTORATION/REHABILITATION SPECIFICATIONS & SITE CLEAN UP

The maintenance activities should not require rehabilitation measures. The maintenance activities should ensure that the environment in maintained in a suitable manner. The only rehabilitation that may be required is the planting of vegetation is cases where erosion is severe. No litter may be left behind my any persons conducting maintenance work.

11. ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND REPORTING/AUDFITING

A monitoring programme must be implemented that detects changes that will inform intervention or remedial measures for good environmental performance. The data collection, management and reporting must be documented and be made available for inspection.

| Part of the water course that is monitored | Frequency of monitoring | Monitoring procedure | How results are analysed and presented | Comments |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

TABLE 3: TABLE FOR MONITORING OF ACTIONS

Yearly audits must take place. These audits will assess operations against the requirements of the EA, EMP and this MMP. The purpose of these audits will be to identify those requirements that are not being met. If environmental pollution has occurred the Department of Water and Sanitation and/or DEADP must be informed and a suitably qualified environmental specialist must be contacted to provide recommendations on how the affected site must be rehabilitated.

12. ANNEXURES



ANNEXURE 1.1 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH

| Latitude (S): | | | Longitude (E): | | | |
|---------------|-----|-----|----------------|-----|-----|--|
| 330 | 55' | 17" | 180 | 53' | 44" | |

ANNEXURE 1.2 LOCALITY MAP



ANNEXURE 1.3 SITE MAP

ANNEURE 1.4 ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

| Criteria | Description | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Nature | a description of who | at causes | the effect, what will be affected, and how it will be affected. |
| | Туре | Score | Description |
| | None (No) | 1 | Footprint |
| | Site (S) | 2 | On site or within 100 m of the site |
| Extent (E) | Local (L) | 3 | Within a 20 km radius of the centre of the site |
| | Regional (R) | 4 | Beyond a 20 km radius of the site |
| | National (Na) | 5 | Crossing provincial boundaries or on a national / land wide scale |
| | Short term (S) | 1 | 0 – 1 years |
| | Short to medium | _ | |
| | (S-M) | 2 | 2 – 5 years |
| Duration (D) | Medium term (M) | 3 | 5 – 15 years |
| | Long term (L) | 4 | > 15 years |
| | Permanent(P) | 5 | Will not cease |
| | Small (S) | 0 | will have no effect on the environment |
| | Minor (Mi) | 2 | will not result in an impact on processes |
| | Low (L) | 4 | will cause a slight impact on processes |
| Magnitude (M) | Moderate (Mo) | 6 | processes continuing but in a modified way |
| nagimbae (m) | High (H) | 8 | processes are altered to the extent that they temporarily cease |
| | | р | results in complete destruction of patterns and permanent |
| | Very high (VH) | 10 | cessation of processes. |
| | Very improbable | | |
| Probability (P) | (VP) | 1 | probably will not happen |
| the likelihood of the | Improbable (I) | 2 | some possibility, but low likelihood |
| impact actually | Probable (P) | 2 3 | distinct possibility |
| occurring. Probability is | Highly probable | 5 | |
| estimated on a scale, | (HP) | 4 | most likely |
| and a score assigned | Definite (D) | 5 | impact will occur regardless of any provention measures |
| | | p o o ovetha | mpact will occur regardless of any prevention measures esis of the characteristics described above: |
| Significance (S) | | i a synine | |
| Significance (S) | $S = (E+D+M) \times P$ | | d as low, modium or high |
| lower < 20 mainter | | | d as low, medium or high |
| Low: < 30 points: | | | a direct influence on the decision to develop in the area |
| Medium: 30 – 60 points: | | | the decision to develop in the area unless it is effectively mitigated |
| High: < 60 points: | | | luence on the decision process to develop in the area |
| No significance | | II OCCUR C | or the impact will not affect the environment |
| Status | Positive (+) | 1 | Negative (-) |
| | Completely | 90- | The impact can be mostly to completely reversed with the |
| | reversible (R) | 100% | implementation of the correct mitigation and rehabilitation |
| | | | measures. |
| The degree to which the | Partly reversible | 4 0007 | The impact can be partly reversed providing that mitigation |
| mpact can be reversed | (PR) | 6-89% | measures as stipulated in the EMP are implemented and |
| | | | rehabilitation measures are undertaken |
| | Irreversible (IR) | 0-5% | The impact cannot be reversed, regardless of the mitigation or |
| | () | | rehabilitation measures taking place |
| | Resource will not | 1 | The resource will not be lost or destroyed provided that mitigation |
| | be lost (R) | 1 | and rehabilitation measures as stipulated in the EMP are |
| The degree to which the | | | implemented |
| impact may cause | Resource may be | - | Partial loss or destruction of the resources will occur even though |
| rreplaceable loss of | partly destroyed | 2 | all management and mitigation measures as stipulated in the EMP |
| resources | (PR) | | are implemented |
| | Resource cannot | 3 | The resource cannot be replaced no matter which management |
| | be replaced (IR) | Č – | or mitigation measures are implemented. |
| | Completely | | The impact can be completely mitigated providing that all |
| | mitigatible (CM) | I | management and mitigation measures as stipulated in the EMP |
| | | <u> </u> | are implemented |
| The degree to which the | | | The impact cannot be completely mitigated even though all |
| mpact can be | Partly mitigatible | 2 | management and mitigation measures as stipulated in the EMP |
| mitigated | (PM) | Ĕ. | are implemented. Implementation of these measures will provide |
| | | | a measure of mitigatibility |
| | Un-mitigatible | 3 | The impact cannot be mitigated no matter which management |
| | (UM) | ~ | or mitigation measures are implemented. |

ANNEXURE 2: METHOD STATEMENTS

| | Activity A |
|---|---|
| Description of maintenance activity | Alien vegetation removal along the river corridor; at river crossings and the stormwater ponds. Removal of all alien vegetation from the river channel and associated areas that were constructed and rehabilitated |
| Actions | The following actions are anticipated to be undertaken in order to carry out alien vegetation removal: Removal of the invasive and alien plants should be according to the appropriate invasive alien plant clearing guidelines/methods provided by the Working for Water Programme. |
| Impacts of actions | The following impacts are anticipated as a result of undertaking the maintenance activity: Minor disturbance to the local indigenous vegetation within the aquatic habitats as a result of removal of alien and invasive plants. Clearance of alien and invasive vegetation from the area and subsequent improvement in the ecological health where construction and rehabilitation has taken place within aquatic habitats |
| Severity of impacts | Minor disturbance to the local vegetationIf all mitigation measures are implemented, the severity of the impact will be Negligible.Alienvegetation•N/A this impact is a POSITIVEclearance• |
| Measures to mitigate the severity of the impact | Minor disturbance to the local vegetation Mitigation measures listed as follows: • Removal of the invasive and alien plants should be according to the guidelines provided by the Working for Water Programme. Alien vegetation • N/A this impact is a POSITIVE |
| Remedial measures if mitigation measures are not implemented adequately on site. | clearance There are no additional remedial mitigation measures other than those listed above. As such, all mitigation measures as outlined above should be implemented in full. |
| Method of Access to the site | Access to the site could be gained using the access roads and selected demarcated areas. |
| Time period of maintenance management activity | The maintenance management activity should be undertaken on a regular basis (at least 12 monthly) after the work is completed. The maintenance management activity will last for approximately 1-2 days. |

| | Activity B | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Description of | Site Inspections of the river corridor; at river crossings and the stormwater ponds. | | | | |
| maintenance activity | nspection of the section of constructed and rehabilitated areas. | | | | |
| Actions | Undertake regular inspections to ensure that: | | | | |
| | The river channel, road crossing and associated areas do not become blocked with sediment, debris or nuisance vegetation growth; | | | | |
| | No erosion of the upgraded river channel and associated areas occurs; and | | | | |
| | The areas remain clear of invasive alien plants and nuisance plant growth should it serve to block the channel or associated areas. These inspections can be undertaken from the banks where there is access and disturbance of any aquatic habitat is minimal. | | | | |
| | All waste within the drainage channels must be removed on a weekly basis. | | | | |
| | Sandy areas and riffles must be maintained for frog habitat. | | | | |
| Impacts of actions | The following impacts are anticipated as a result of undertaking the maintenance activity: | | | | |
| | A negligible disturbance to the local vegetation as a result of the inspection process. | | | | |
| Severity of impacts | Minor disturbance to If all mitigation measures are implemented, the severity of the impact will be Negligible. the local vegetation | | | | |
| Measures to mitigate the | Minor disturbance to Mitigation measures are listed as follows: | | | | |
| severity of the impact | the local vegetation • The minimum area for the maintenance activity to be adequately undertaken should be properly demarcated. Outside of the maintenance activity area should be treated as a no-go area. | | | | |
| Remedial measures if mitigation measures are not implemented adequately on site. | There are no additional remedial mitigation measures other than those listed above. As such, all mitigation measures as outlined above should be implemented in full. | | | | |
| Method of Access to the site | Access to the site could be gained using the access roads and selected demarcated areas. | | | | |
| Time period of | The maintenance management activity should be undertaken on a regular basis after the river works are completed | | | | |
| maintenance | and in particular following significant rainfall events as well as prior to the onset of the winter rainfall period. This | | | | |
| management activity | maintenance management activity will last for not more than 2 hours. | | | | |

| | Activity C | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description of maintenance activity | Erosion Protection along the river corridor and buffer areas; at river crossings and the stormwater ponds. | | | |
| Actions | The following actions are anticipated to be undertaken in order to remove blockages from the river channel and associated areas: All rubble and waste debris in the river channel should be removed out of the river channel and banks by hand. Particular attention should be given to upstream of the structures in the river channel. Clearing of nuisance growth of plants within the channel if necessary should also be undertaken by hand during the low/no flow period. | | | |
| Impacts of actions | The following impacts are anticipated as a result of undertaking the maintenance activity: Minor disturbance to the local indigenous vegetation as a result of accessing the site Disturbance to the river banks due to removal of sediment, debris and nuisance plant growth | | | |
| Severity of impacts | Disturbance to the If all mitigation measures are implemented, the severity of the impact will be Negligible. river bed and banks due to removal of sediment, debris or nuisance plant growth | | | |
| Measures to mitigate the severity of the impact | Disturbance to the river bed and banks due to removal of sediment, debris or nuisance plant growth Alien vegetation clearance More to removal of sediment, debris or nuisance plant growth alien vegetation clearance More to removal of the works. More the works associated with the maintenance works should be taken to minimize the sedimentation that would be caused downstream of the works. Work should preferably be undertaken by hand with no machinery driven into aquatic habitats. Activities associated with the maintenance work should be undertaken during the low flow period before the onset of the high flows. Soil, debris and nuisance plant growth removed from the river channel and associated areas should not be dumped within the immediate areas surrounding the aquatic habitats or any indigenous vegetation removed from the site. Removed soil could be used to fill eroded areas. | | | |
| Remedial measures if mitigation measures are not implemented | There are no additional remedial mitigation measures other than those listed above. As such, all mitigation measures as outlined above should be implemented in full. | | | |

| adequately on site. | | |
|-----------------------|----|---|
| Method of Access to t | he | Access to the site could be gained using the access roads and selected demarcated areas. |
| site | | |
| Time period | of | The maintenance management activity should be undertaken on a regular basis (at least 6 monthly) after the work |
| maintenance | | is completed. The maintenance management activity will last for approximately 1-2 days. |
| management activity | | |

| | Activity D | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Description of maintenance activity | Removal of Sediment, Debris or Nuisance vegetation growth within the river corridor and buffer areas; at river crossings and the stormwater ponds. | | | |
| Actions | The following actions are anticipated to be undertaken in order to remove blockages from the river channel and associated areas: All rubble and waste debris in the river channel should be removed out of the river channel and banks by hand. Particular attention should be given to upstream of the structures in the river channel. Clearing of nuisance growth of plants within the channel if necessary should also be undertaken by hand during the low/no flow period. | | | |
| Impacts of actions | The following impacts are anticipated as a result of undertaking the maintenance activity: Minor disturbance to the local indigenous vegetation as a result of accessing the site Disturbance to the river banks due to removal of sediment, debris and nuisance plant growth | | | |
| Severity of impacts | Disturbance to the If all mitigation measures are implemented, the severity of the impact will be Negligible. river bed and banks due to removal of sediment, debris or nuisance plant growth | | | |
| Measures to mitigate the severity of the impact | Disturbance to the river bed and banks due to removal of sediment, debris or nuisance plant growth Alien vegetation clearance Mork should preferably be undertaken by hand with no machinery driven into aquatic habitats. Activities associated with the maintenance work should be undertaken during the low flow period before the onset of the high flows. | | | |

| | Soil, debris and nuisance plant growth removed from the river channel and associated areas should not be dumped within the immediate areas surrounding the aquatic habitats or any indigenous vegetation removed from the site. Removed soil could be used to fill eroded areas. |
|-------------------------|--|
| Remedial measures if | There are no additional remedial mitigation measures other than those listed above. As such, all mitigation |
| mitigation measures are | measures as outlined above should be implemented in full. |
| not implemented | |
| adequately on site. | |
| Method of Access to the | Access to the site could be gained using the access roads and selected demarcated areas. |
| site | |
| Time period of | The maintenance management activity should be undertaken on a regular basis (at least 6 monthly) after the work |
| maintenance | is completed. The maintenance management activity will last for approximately 1-2 days. |
| management activity | |